



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 09/904,039 | | 07/12/2001 | Shoulian Dong | 3218.2A | 3123 | | |
| 22886 | 7590 | 11/19/2002 | | | | | |
| | AFFYMETRIX, INC | | | EXAMINER | | | |
| ATTN: CHIEF IP COUNSEL, LEGAL DEPT. 3380 CENTRAL EXPRESSWAY | | | | KIM, YOUNG J | | | |
| SANTA CL | ARA, CA | 95051 | | ART UNIT | PAPÉR NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 1637 | 10 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 11/19/2002 | , 0 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|----------------------|--|--|
| | | 09/904,039 | | DONG ET AL. | | | |
| (| Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Young J. Kim | | 1637 | | | |
| TI Period for R | he MAILING DATE of this communication app eply | ears on the cove | r sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | ldress | | |
| THE MAI - Extensions after SIX (- If the perion - If NO perion - Failure to - Any reply i | TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. so of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. and for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, how within the statutory min will apply and will expire cause the application to | ever, may a reply be tim nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ly. ommunication. | | |
| 1)⊠ Re | esponsive to communication(s) filed on 10/2 | <u>22/02</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a)□ Tr | nis action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi | is action is non-fi | nal. | | | | |
| clo | 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| • | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-173</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>59-173</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5)☐ Cla | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Cla | 6)区 Claim(s) <u>36-58</u> is/are rejected. 4ポー | | | | | | |
| 7)□ Cla | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 1 ' | im(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election require | ment. | | | | |
| Application | • | | | | | | |
| 1 | specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | | | | |
| 1 ' | drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep | | _ | | | | |
| 1 | oplicant may not request that any objection to the | . <u> </u> | | | | | |
| ı /— | 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| l | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| - | oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. | | | | | |
| 1 | Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| | 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) | a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1.[| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3.[* See | Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur the attached detailed Office action for a list of | reau (PCT Rule | 17.2(a)). | | Stage | | |
| 14)⊠ Ackn | owledgment is made of a claim for domestic | c priority under 3 | 5 U.S.C. § 119(e | e) (to a provisiona | l application). | | |
| | The translation of the foreign language pronowledgment is made of a claim for domesti | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of I | References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) In Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>4.</u> | 4) 5) 6,7. 6) | | (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Tradem PTO-326 (Rev. 04 | ark Office (-01) Office Ac | tion Summary | | Part of | Paper No. 10 | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/904,039

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Group II (claims 39-59, 64-84, 89-107, 112-133, and 138-159) in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.

In the response received on October 22, 2002 (Paper No. 9), Applicants, though stating that the election of species was being made without traverse, did not specifically recite which of the species was to be examined. During a telephonic conversation with Ms. Sandra Wells on November 1, 2002, Ms. Wells elected to have the species i) and sub-species ia) examined. The corresponding claims to the elected species and sub-species are claims 39-58.

Therefore, Claims 38, 59-173 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 9.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,039

Art Unit: 1637

Claims 39-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 39 and the dependent claims 40-58 are indefinite for the recitation of the phrase, "amplifying at least some of said fragments," because it is unclear whether the "said fragments" being amplified are fragments produced from the fragmentation of the first nucleic acid or the fragmented nucleic acids to which an adaptor sequences have been ligated. For the purpose of prosecution, the claims have been assumed to read on the latter interpretation. Amending the independent claim 39 to recite, "amplifying at least some of said fragments ligated with said adaptor sequences," would overcome this rejection.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain <u>a</u> patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 39-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-8, 11-15, and 18-24 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,361,947 B1. This is a double patenting rejection.

The claims are verbatim as illustrated below:

Application/Control Number: 09/904,039

Art Unit: 1637

| Instant Application | 6,361,947 B1 | | |
|---------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Claims 39-46 | Claims 1-8 | | |
| Claims 47-51 | Claims 11-15 | | |
| Claims 52-58 | Claims 18-24 | | |

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Young J. Kim whose telephone number is (703) 308-9348. The Examiner can normally be reached from 8:30 a.m. to 7:00 p.m. Monday through Thursday. If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion, can be reached at (703) 308-1119. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Art Unit 1637 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR 1.6(d)). NOTE: If applicant does submit a paper by FAX, the original copy should be retained by applicant or applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED, so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office. The Fax number is (703) 746-3172. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Young J. Kim

10/10/02

KENNETH R. HORLICK, PH. P.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

11/13/02